

導来圏 et 導来函手 en Géométrie Algébrique

Peize Liu

27 July 2022

Contents

0 Derived Functors

2

References

Expository notes:

- Schapira, *Categories and Homological Algebra*. [Schapira]
- Bridgeland, *D^b (Intro)*.
- Căldăraru, *Derived Categories of Sheaves: A Skimming*.

Books:

- Huybrechts, *Fourier–Mukai Transforms in Algebraic Geometry*.
- Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*.
- 李文威, 代数学方法 II (未定稿). [李文威]
- Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra* (Chap. 10 on derived categories).
- Bocklandt, *A Gentle Introduction to Homological Mirror Symmetry* (Chap. 7 on the B-side).

Overview

Kontsevich’s homological mirror symmetry is a vague conjecture about the derived equivalence of the A_∞ -categories

$$D^\pi \text{Fuk}(X) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(X^\vee)$$

for a mirror pair (X, X^\vee) of Calabi–Yau varieties. The left-hand side is the derived Fukaya category constructed from the symplectic geometry of X , known as the A-model, whereas the right-hand side is the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X^\vee , known as the B-model. These notes aim to fill in the gaps between undergraduate algebraic geometry and the essential backgrounds of understanding $D^b \text{Coh}(X)$ when X is a smooth projective variety.

Some topics and results in derived categories of sheaves to be covered:

- Some initial results, e.g. $D^b \text{Coh}(X) \cong D_{\text{Coh}}^b(\text{QCoh}(X))$;
- $D^b \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong D \text{Rep } Q$ for the Kronecker quiver Q ;
- Derived category of projective n -spaces $D^b \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \langle \mathcal{O}(-n), \dots, \mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle$;
- Smoothness, perfect complexes, $\text{Perf } X = D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ for regular Noetherian scheme X ;
- Serre functor, derived Serre duality;

- Grothendieck–Verdier duality;
- Ampleness, canonical bundle, Fano & Calabi–Yau varieties;
- **Bondal–Orlov Theorem.** Suppose that X is a projective variety with canonical bundle ω_X ample or anti-ample, and Y is a projective variety. If $D^b\text{Coh}(X) \cong D^b\text{Coh}(Y)$ as triangulated categories, then $X \cong Y$ as varieties;
- A_∞ -structure on $\text{Coh}(X)$.

I will continue from the notes ([YS]) *Triangulated categories and derived categories* by Jinghui Yang & Shuwei Wang. **Warning.** Currently these notes grew out from a talk and was not self-contained in nature. In the future they may be extended to a more inclusive version, where I aim to present derived categories and localisations rigourously.

0 Derived Functors

This section mainly follows [李文威]. The relevant sections are 1.8, 1.11, 3.2, 4.6–4.9, 4.12.

Recall that from an Abelian category \mathcal{A} we can build the **homotopy category** $K(\mathcal{A})$ by taking quotient by chain maps homotopic to zero in the chain complex category $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, and the **derived category** $D(\mathcal{A})$ by (Verdier) localisation on the acyclic complexes in $K(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, every quasi-isomorphism of chains in \mathcal{A} becomes an isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{A})$ (and $D(\mathcal{A})$ is universal with respect to this property by construction). In general, $K(\mathcal{A})$ and $D(\mathcal{A})$ are not Abelian, but rather **triangulated categories**. For all the technical details we refer to the notes from the previous talk. If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives, then $D^+(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$, the full subcategory of injective objects of \mathcal{A} .

There is a natural way to define derived functor under the viewpoint of derived categories. First we recall the classical definition. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an Abelian category with enough injectives. For $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, let $A \rightarrow I^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of A . Suppose that $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a left exact functor. Then the **n -th right derived functor** of F acting on X is given by $R^n F(A) := H^n(F(I^\bullet))$.

Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' be triangulated categories, and $Q: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N}$ and $Q': \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'$ be Verdier localisations. Suppose that $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$ is a triangulated functor (i.e. preserving distinguished triangles). The naive idea is to seek for a functor G such that the following diagram commutes (and satisfies some universal properties):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\ Q \downarrow & & \downarrow Q' \\ \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}' \end{array}$$

For this we need the Kan extension from category theory. Let's recap.

Definition 0.1. Consider functors $Q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. The **left Kan extension** of F by Q consists of the following data:

- A functor $\text{Lan}_Q F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$;
- A natural transformation $\eta: F \Rightarrow \text{Lan}_Q F \circ Q$;

which satisfy the following universal property: for any functor $L: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and natural transformation $\xi: F \Rightarrow L \circ Q$, there exists a unique $\chi: \text{Lan}_Q F \Rightarrow L$ such that $\xi = (\chi \circ Q) \circ \eta$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow \xi & \nearrow \\
\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{E}
\end{array}
=
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow \eta & \nearrow \\
\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_Q F} & \mathcal{E} \\
& \Downarrow \exists! \chi & \\
& \text{Lan}_Q F & \\
& \downarrow & \\
& \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{E}
\end{array}$$

Considering left Kan extension in the opposite categories, we could define **right Kan extension**. The corresponding diagram is given by reversing all natural transformations in the above diagram.

Definition 0.2. Let $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$ as above. If the left (*resp.* right) Kan extension $\text{Lan}_Q(Q' \circ F)$ (*resp.* $\text{Ran}_Q(Q' \circ F)$) exists and is a triangulated functor, then it is called the right (*resp.* left) **derived functor** of F , denoted by RF (*resp.* LF).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{RF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{LF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'
\end{array}$$

Remark. Suppose that $G: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$ is another triangulated functor with a natural transformation $\eta: F \Rightarrow G$. If the right derived functor RG exists, then there is a canonical natural transformation $\text{RF} \Rightarrow \text{RG}$ by the universal property of right Kan extension.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \xrightarrow{G} & \\
& \uparrow & \\
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{RF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}' \\
& \downarrow & \\
& \text{RG} &
\end{array}$$

Then we focus on the derived categories. Note that an additive functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ between Abelian categories induces the homotopy functor $\text{KF}: \text{K}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A}')^1$ which is triangulated. Consider the Kan extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{K}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{KF}} & \text{K}(\mathcal{A}') \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\text{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{RF}} & \text{D}(\mathcal{A}')
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{K}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{KF}} & \text{K}(\mathcal{A}') \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\text{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{LF}} & \text{D}(\mathcal{A}')
\end{array}$$

Assuming existence, RF (*resp.* LF) is called the right (*resp.* left) derived functor of F . Their uniqueness is ensured by the universal property. What about existence?

Definition 0.3. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ be as above. Let \mathcal{J} be a triangulated subcategory of $\text{K}(\mathcal{A})$. We say that \mathcal{J} is **F-injective** (*resp.* **F-projective**), if:

- Resolution: For $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}))$ there exists $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{J})$ and a quasi-isomorphism $X \rightarrow Y$ (*resp.* $Y \rightarrow X$).
- Preserving null system: $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{J})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}'))$

Note that here the null system $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A})$ is the acyclic complexes in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$.

¹The cases for K^+ , K^- , and K^b are identical.

Remark. There is a similar notion for subcategories of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{I} be an additive full subcategory of \mathcal{A} . We say that \mathcal{I} is of **type I** (*resp.* **type P**) relative to F , if:

- For any $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ there exists $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ and a monomorphism $X \rightarrow Y$ (*resp.* epimorphism $Y \rightarrow X$);
- For any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} , if $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ then $Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$. (*resp.* If $Y, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ then $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$.) In this case $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$ is also exact.

This should be considered as the generalisation of injective objects in \mathcal{A} . Indeed the subcategory $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of injective objects of \mathcal{A} is of type I relative to any additive functor F .

The terminology is taken from [李文威, 4.8.2]. In fact, this notion is what [Schapira, 4.7.5] calls *F-injective*. The two definitions are closely related. If $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is of type I relative to F , then $\text{K}(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \text{K}(\mathcal{A})$ is *F-injective*.

Proposition 0.4

Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ be as above. Suppose that $\text{K}(\mathcal{A})$ has an *F-injective* (*resp.* *F-projective*) subcategory. Then the right (*resp.* left) derived functor $\text{R}F$ (*resp.* $\text{L}F$) exists.

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be an *F-injective* subcategory of $\text{K}(\mathcal{A})$. By Theorem 3.5 in [YS], there is an equivalence of category $\text{D}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I})$. Since $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}'))$, by the universal property of Verdier localisation there is a functor $F^b: \mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \text{D}(\mathcal{A}')$. Take $\text{R}F: \text{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{D}(\mathcal{A}')$ to be the functor such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{R}F} & \text{D}(\mathcal{A}') \\ \uparrow i^{-1} \downarrow i & \nearrow F^b & \\ \mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I}) & & \end{array}$$

Next we need to verify that $\text{R}F$ is indeed the Kan extension. See [李文威, Prop 1.11.2, Prop 4.6.4]. □

Corollary 0.5

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has enough injectives (*resp.* projectives). Then the right (*resp.* left) derived functor ${}^+\text{R}F$ (*resp.* ${}^+\text{L}F$) exists for any additive functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$.

Proof. Immediate by [YS, Prop 3.10]. □

Proposition 0.6

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has enough injectives. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ be a left exact additive functor. Then for $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. we have

$$\text{R}^n F(A) = \text{H}^n \circ \text{R}F(QA),$$

where $QA \in \text{D}^+(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{H}^n: \text{D}^+(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is the n -th cohomology functor.

Proof. Take an injective resolution $A \rightarrow I^\bullet$. This gives rise to a quasi-isomorphism $A \rightarrow I$ in $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{A})$, where I lies in the F -injective subcategory $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}})$ of $\mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{A})$. Now we have the isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{R}F(QA) \cong \mathbf{R}F(QI) \cong Q' \mathbf{K}^+ F(I).$$

Applying \mathbf{H}^n gives the result. □

Proposition 0.7. Long Exact Sequence

Suppose that $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ has a right derived functor $\mathbf{R}F$. For any distinguished triangle $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$ in $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})$, there is a canonical long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n F(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n F(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n F(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} F(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{R}F$ is a triangulated functor, the result follows from applying the cohomology functor \mathbf{H}^0 . □

Comparing to the classical definition, a great advantage of derived functors in this viewpoint is that they compose in a canonical way.

Proposition 0.8

Consider the additive functors among Abelian categories:

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{A}''$$

Suppose that the right derived functors $\mathbf{R}F$, $\mathbf{R}F'$ and $\mathbf{R}(F' \circ F)$ all exist. Then there is a natural transformation $\mathbf{R}(F' \circ F) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}F') \circ (\mathbf{R}F)$.

Moreover, if \mathcal{I} is an F -injective subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ and \mathcal{I}' is an F' -injective subcategory of $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}')$ such that $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I}')$, then \mathcal{I} is $F' \circ F$ -injective. And the natural transformation above is an isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{R}(F' \circ F) \cong (\mathbf{R}F') \circ (\mathbf{R}F).$$

Proof. For the first part, the natural transformation $\mathbf{R}(F' \circ F) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}F') \circ (\mathbf{R}F)$ is induced by the universal property of left Kan extensions (*check it!*) For the second part, take $I \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$. Using the construction in Proposition 0.4 we obtain

$$(\mathbf{R}F') \circ (\mathbf{R}F)(QI) = Q'' \circ F' \circ F(I) = \mathbf{R}(F' \circ F)(QI)$$

For $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}))$, by choosing quasi-isomorphism $X \rightarrow I$ we obtain the isomorphism $(\mathbf{R}F') \circ (\mathbf{R}F)(QX) \cong \mathbf{R}(F' \circ F)(QX)$. Finally check that this is compatible with the natural transformation given above. □

Derived Bi-Functors

The tensor functor $-\otimes-$ and the Hom functor $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ are two typical examples of bi-functors of Abelian categories. Since we are interested in these functors, it is useful to treat the derived bi-functors separately.

Definition 0.9. Let $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$ be triangulated categories. A bi-functor $F: \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is triangulated, if

- F is triangulated in both slots;
- For any $A \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and $B \in \mathcal{K}_2$, the following diagram anti-commutes²:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathbb{T}_1 A, \mathbb{T}_2 B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}F(A, \mathbb{T}_2 B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T}F(\mathbb{T}_1 A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^2 F(A, B) \end{array}$$

The definition of the left/right derived functor of a triangulated bi-functor is essentially identical. We are interested in the cases where the triangulated categories are homotopy categories of Abelian categories.

Now we consider Abelian categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$, where \mathcal{A} admits countable products and coproducts. Let $F: \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an additive bi-functor. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}_{\oplus} F &:= \text{Tot}_{\oplus} \circ \text{Ch}^2(F): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}); \\ \text{Ch}_{\Pi} F &:= \text{Tot}_{\Pi} \circ \text{Ch}^2(F): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Then induce the triangulated bi-functors $\text{K}_{\oplus} F, \text{K}_{\Pi} F: \text{K}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \text{K}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ be triangulated subcategories of $\text{K}(\mathcal{A}_1), \text{K}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ respectively. We say that $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is F -injective (*resp.* F -projective), if \mathcal{I}_2 is $F(A_1, -)$ -injective for any $A_1 \in \text{Obj}(\text{K}(\mathcal{A}_1))$, and \mathcal{I}_1 is $F(-, A_2)$ -injective for any $A_2 \in \text{Obj}(\text{K}(\mathcal{A}_2))$.

Proposition 0.10

Let $F: \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be as above.

1. If $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is F -injective, then $\text{RF} := \text{RK}_{\Pi} F$ exists. We call it the right derived functor of F ;
2. If $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2)$ is F -projective, then $\text{LF} := \text{LK}_{\oplus} F$ exists. We call it the left derived functor of F .

Ext and RHom

Recall that in *C2.2 Homological Algebra*. we define the $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$ to be the n -th right derived functor of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, -)$ acting on $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives or projectives, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$ is computed by an injective resolution $B \rightarrow I^{\bullet}$ of B or a projective resolution $P^{\bullet} \rightarrow A$ of A . By acyclic assembly lemma, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$ can also be computed as the n -th cohomology of the total complex $\text{Tot}^{\Pi}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\bullet}, Q_{\bullet}))$ using projective resolutions $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow A$ and $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow B$.

Using the derived category, the Ext group can be defined without using injective or projective resolutions:

Definition 0.11. Let \mathcal{A} be an Abelian category. For chain complexes A, B in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, we define the (**hyper-**)Ext group as

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B) := \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]).$$

²The term is used in [李文威]. It means that the two composite morphisms in the square differ by a sign.

This definition gives an obvious multiplication structure on Ext :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(B, C) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^m(A, B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+m}(A, C) \\ (f, g) & \longmapsto & f[m] \circ g \end{array}$$

In particular it makes $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}(A, A)$ a graded ring for any $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$.

Next we will consider Ext as the right derived functor of Hom bi-functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$. It induces the functor on the double complexes:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(-, -): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Ab}) \times \text{Ch}(\text{Ab}).$$

Define $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -) := \text{Tot}_{\Pi} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(-, -): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$. It is not hard to verify that $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the **Hom complex** $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}$:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B) := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A^k, B^{k+n}), \quad d_{\text{Hom}}^n(f) := d_B \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d_A.$$

Lemma 0.12

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]) \cong H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}(A, B), d_{\text{Hom}}^{\bullet}).$$

Proof. Trivial by definition. □

The bi-functor $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ or $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}$ induces the triangulated bi-functor

$$\mathcal{K} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{K}^-(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{K}^+(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^+(\text{Ab}).$$

If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives or projectives, then the right derived functor

$$\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \text{D}^-(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{D}^+(\text{Ab})$$

exists.

Proposition 0.13

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has enough injectives or projectives. For $A \in \text{Obj}(\text{D}^-(\mathcal{A}))$ and $B \in \text{Obj}(\text{D}^+(\mathcal{A}))$, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$H^n \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]).$$

Proof. Taking the right derived functor in the previous lemma and note that the cohomology functor H^n factors through the derived functor. □

Corollary 0.14

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has enough injectives. Let $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ (viewed as complexes concentrated at degree 0). Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \text{Hom}(A, -)(B)$$

Therefore the hyper-Ext is a generalisation of the usual Ext.

Tor and $\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}$

In this part we only consider R -modules. For $A, B \in \text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})$, from *C3.1 Algebraic Topology* we recall the tensor product of complexes is given by the total complex $A \otimes_R B := \text{Tot}_{\oplus}(A^{\bullet} \otimes_R B^{\bullet})$.

Definition 0.15. For $A, B \in \text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})$, the **total tensor product** of A and B is the left derived functor

$$A \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} B := \mathbb{L}(- \otimes_R -)(A, B).$$

$\mathbb{L}(- \otimes_R -): \mathcal{D}^-(\text{Mod-}R) \times \mathcal{D}^-(R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^-(\text{Ab})$ exists because $R\text{-Mod}$ has enough projectives. By taking cohomology we have the **(hyper-)Tor** groups:

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(A, B) := H_n(A \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} B).^3$$

Similar as hyper-Ext, using the theory of derived functors we can verify that the hyper-Tor reduces to the usual Tor on $\text{Obj}(R\text{-Mod})$ (defined using projective resolutions).

Remark. In general $\text{QCoh}(X)$ does not have enough projectives. We will have to instead use flat resolutions to compute the total tensor product. See later.

Proposition 0.16. Derived Tensor-Hom Adjunction

Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod-}R)$, $B \in \mathcal{D}(R\text{-Mod})$, and $C \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Ab})$. There are canonical isomorphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\text{Ab})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(X \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} Y, Z) &\cong \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod-}R}(X, \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(Y, Z)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(Y, \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(X, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

³Cohomology and homology make no difference in algebra. By convention, $H_n := H^{-n}$.