

# 導来圏 et 導来函手 en Géométrie Algébrique

Peize Liu

10 August 2022

## Contents

0	Derived Functors	2
1	Sheaves of Modules	9
2	Coherent Sheaves on a Smooth Projective Variety	13
3	Reconstruction from Derived Categories	16

---

## References

Expository notes:

- Jinghui Yang & Shuwei Wang, *Triangulated categories and derived categories* [YS]
- Schapira, *Categories and Homological Algebra*. [Scha]
- Bridgeland, *D<sup>b</sup> (Intro)*.
- Căldăraru, *Derived Categories of Sheaves: A Skimming*. [Căld]
- Calabrese, *On a Theorem of Beilinson*.

Books:

- Huybrechts, *Fourier–Mukai Transforms in Algebraic Geometry*. [Huyb]
- Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*. [HartsAG]
- Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*. [HartsRD]
- 李文威, 代数学方法 II (未定稿). [李文威]
- Bocklandt, *A Gentle Introduction to Homological Mirror Symmetry*. [Bock]

Prerequisites (Oxford courses):

- B2.2 Commutative Algebra
- C2.2 Homological Algebra
- C2.6 Introduction to Schemes
- C3.4 Algebraic Geometry

I will take everything from those courses for granted.

## Overview

Kontsevich’s homological mirror symmetry is a conjecture on the derived equivalence of the  $A_\infty$ -categories

$$D^\pi \text{Fuk}(X) \simeq D^b \text{Coh}(X^\vee)$$

for a mirror pair  $(X, X^\vee)$  of Calabi–Yau varieties. The left-hand side is the derived Fukaya category constructed from the symplectic geometry of  $X$ , known as the A-model, whereas the right-hand side

is the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on  $X^\vee$ , known as the B-model. These notes aim to fill in the gaps between undergraduate algebraic geometry and the essential backgrounds of understanding  $D^b\text{Coh}(X)$  when  $X$  is a smooth projective variety.

Some topics and results in derived categories of sheaves to be covered:

- Some initial results, e.g.  $D^b\text{Coh}(X) \cong D_{\text{Coh}}^b(\text{QCoh}(X))$ ;
- Smoothness, perfect complexes,  $\text{Perf } X = D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  for regular Noetherian scheme  $X$ ;
- Serre functor, derived Serre duality;
- Grothendieck–Verdier duality;
- Ampleness, canonical bundle, Fano & Calabi–Yau varieties;
- **Bondal–Orlov Theorem.** Suppose that  $X$  is a projective variety with canonical bundle  $\omega_X$  ample or anti-ample, and  $Y$  is a projective variety. If  $D^b\text{Coh}(X) \cong D^b\text{Coh}(Y)$  as triangulated categories, then  $X \cong Y$  as varieties;
- $A_\infty$ -structure on  $\text{Coh}(X)$ ;
- $D^b\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong D \text{Rep } Q$  for the Kronecker quiver  $Q$ ;
- Derived category of projective  $n$ -spaces  $D^b\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^n) = \langle \mathcal{O}(-n), \dots, \mathcal{O}(-1), \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle$ ;

I will continue from the notes ([YS]) *Triangulated categories and derived categories* by Jinghui Yang & Shuwei Wang. **Warning.** Currently these notes grew out from a talk and was not self-contained in nature. In the future they may be extended to a more inclusive version, where I aim to present derived categories and localisations rigourously.

## 0 Derived Functors

This section mainly follows [李文威]. The relevant sections are 1.8, 1.11, 3.2, 4.6–4.9, 4.12.

Recall that from an Abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  we can build the **homotopy category**  $K(\mathcal{A})$  by taking quotient by chain maps homotopic to zero in the chain complex category  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ , and the **derived category**  $D(\mathcal{A})$  by (Verdier) localisation on the acyclic complexes in  $K(\mathcal{A})$ . In particular, every quasi-isomorphism of chains in  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes an isomorphism in  $D(\mathcal{A})$  (and  $D(\mathcal{A})$  is universal with respect to this property by construction). In general,  $K(\mathcal{A})$  and  $D(\mathcal{A})$  are not Abelian, but rather **triangulated categories**. For all the technical details we refer to the notes from the previous talk. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives, then  $D^+(\mathcal{A})$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , the full subcategory of injective objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

There is a natural way to define derived functor under the viewpoint of derived categories. First we recall the classical definition. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an Abelian category with enough injectives. For  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , let  $A \rightarrow I^\bullet$  be an injective resolution of  $A$ . Suppose that  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a left exact functor. Then the  **$n$ -th right derived functor** of  $F$  acting on  $X$  is given by  $R^n F(A) := H^n(F(I^\bullet))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$  be triangulated categories, and  $Q: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N}$  and  $Q': \mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'$  be Verdier localisations. Suppose that  $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  is a triangulated functor (i.e. preserving distinguished triangles). The naive idea is to seek for a functor  $G$  such that the following diagram commutes (and satisfies some universal properties):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \dashrightarrow^G & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'
\end{array}$$

For this we need the Kan extension from category theory. Let's recap.

**Definition 0.1.** Consider functors  $Q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . The **left Kan extension** of  $F$  by  $Q$  consists of the following data:

- A functor  $\text{Lan}_Q F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ ;
- A natural transformation  $\eta: F \Rightarrow \text{Lan}_Q F \circ Q$ ;

which satisfy the following universal property: for any functor  $L: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  and natural transformation  $\xi: F \Rightarrow L \circ Q$ , there exists a unique  $\chi: \text{Lan}_Q F \Rightarrow L$  such that  $\xi = (\chi \circ Q) \circ \eta$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \\
Q \downarrow & \searrow^{\xi} & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{E}
\end{array} & \equiv & 
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{E} \\
Q \downarrow & \searrow^{\eta} & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lan}_Q F} & \mathcal{E} \\
& \searrow^{\chi} & \downarrow \\
& & \mathcal{E} \\
& \swarrow_{L} & \\
& & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
\end{array}$$

Considering left Kan extension in the opposite categories, we could define **right Kan extension**. The corresponding diagram is given by reversing all natural transformations in the above diagram.

**Definition 0.2.** Let  $F: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  as above. If the left (*resp.* right) Kan extension  $\text{Lan}_Q(Q' \circ F)$  (*resp.*  $\text{Ran}_Q(Q' \circ F)$ ) exists and is a triangulated functor, then it is called the right (*resp.* left) **derived functor** of  $F$ , denoted by  $\text{RF}$  (*resp.*  $\text{LF}$ ).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{RF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{LF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}'
\end{array}$$

**Remark.** Suppose that  $G: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$  is another triangulated functor with a natural transformation  $\eta: F \Rightarrow G$ . If the right derived functor  $\text{RG}$  exists, then there is a canonical natural transformation  $\text{RF} \Rightarrow \text{RG}$  by the universal property of right Kan extension.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & G \\
& \swarrow & \uparrow \\
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{K}' \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathcal{K}/\mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{RF}} & \mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{N}' \\
& \searrow & \downarrow \\
& & \text{RG}
\end{array}$$

Then we focus on the derived categories. Note that an additive functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  between Abelian categories induces the homotopy functor  $\text{KF}: \text{K}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{K}(\mathcal{A}')^1$  which is triangulated. Consider the Kan extensions:

<sup>1</sup>The cases for  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^-$ , and  $\text{K}^b$  are identical.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}F} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}') \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}F} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}')
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}F} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A}') \\
Q \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow Q' \\
\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}F} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}')
\end{array}$$

Assuming existence,  $\mathbf{R}F$  (*resp.*  $\mathbf{L}F$ ) is called the right (*resp.* left) derived functor of  $F$ . Their uniqueness is ensured by the universal property. What about existence?

**Definition 0.3.** Let  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  be as above. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a triangulated subcategory of  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ . We say that  $\mathcal{J}$  is  **$F$ -injective** (*resp.*  **$F$ -projective**), if:

- Resolution: For  $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}))$  there exists  $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{J})$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  (*resp.*  $Y \rightarrow X$ ).
- Preserving null system:  $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{J})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}'))$

Note that here the null system  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A})$  is the acyclic complexes in  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Remark.** There is a similar notion for subcategories of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an additive full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{I}$  is of **type I** (*resp.* **type P**) relative to  $F$ , if:

- For any  $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists  $Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$  and a monomorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  (*resp.* epimorphism  $Y \rightarrow X$ );
- For any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$  then  $Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ . (*resp.* If  $Y, Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$  then  $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ .) In this case  $0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow 0$  is also exact.

This should be considered as the generalisation of injective objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Indeed the subcategory  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$  of injective objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  is of type I relative to any additive functor  $F$ .

The terminology is taken from [李文威, 4.8.2]. In fact, this notion is what [Scha, 4.7.5] calls *F-injective*. The two definitions are closely related. If  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is of type I relative to  $F$ , then  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$  is *F-injective*.

#### Proposition 0.4

Let  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  be as above. Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$  has an *F-injective* (*resp.* *F-projective*) subcategory. Then the right (*resp.* left) derived functor  $\mathbf{R}F$  (*resp.*  $\mathbf{L}F$ ) exists.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an *F-injective* subcategory of  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})$ . By Theorem 3.5 in [YS], there is an equivalence of category  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I})$ . Since  $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}'))$ , by the universal property of Verdier localisation there is a functor  $F^{\flat}: \mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}')$ . Take  $\mathbf{R}F: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}')$  to be the functor such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}F} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A}') \\
i^{-1} \uparrow \downarrow i & \nearrow F^{\flat} & \\
\mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{I}) & & 
\end{array}$$

Next we need to verify that  $\mathbf{R}F$  is indeed the Kan extension. See [李文威, Prop 1.11.2, Prop 4.6.4].  $\square$

**Corollary 0.5**

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives (*resp.* projectives). Then the right (*resp.* left) derived functor  ${}^+RF$  (*resp.*  ${}^+LF$ ) exists for any additive functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ .

*Proof.* Immediate by [YS, Prop 3.10]. □

**Proposition 0.6**

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives. Let  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  be a left exact additive functor. Then for  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$R^n F(A) = H^n \circ RF(QA),$$

where  $QA \in D^+(\mathcal{A})$  and  $H^n: D^+(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$  is the  $n$ -th cohomology functor.

*Proof.* Take an injective resolution  $A \rightarrow I^\bullet$ . This gives rise to a quasi-isomorphism  $A \rightarrow I$  in  $K^+(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $I$  lies in the  $F$ -injective subcategory  $K^+(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}})$  of  $K^+(\mathcal{A})$ . Now we have the isomorphisms

$$RF(QA) \cong RF(QI) \cong Q'K^+F(I).$$

Applying  $H^n$  gives the result. □

**Proposition 0.7. Long Exact Sequence**

Suppose that  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$  has a right derived functor  $RF$ . For any distinguished triangle  $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow X[1]$  in  $D(\mathcal{A})$ , there is a canonical long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow R^{n-1}(Z) \rightarrow R^n F(X) \rightarrow R^n F(Y) \rightarrow R^n F(Z) \rightarrow R^{n+1} F(X) \rightarrow \dots$$

*Proof.* Since  $RF$  is a triangulated functor, the result follows from applying the cohomology functor  $H^0$ . □

Comparing to the classical definition, a great advantage of derived functors in this viewpoint is that they compose in a canonical way.

**Proposition 0.8**

Consider the additive functors among Abelian categories:

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{F'} \mathcal{A}''$$

Suppose that the right derived functors  $RF$ ,  $RF'$  and  $R(F' \circ F)$  all exist. Then there is a natural transformation  $R(F' \circ F) \Rightarrow (RF') \circ (RF)$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{I}$  is an  $F$ -injective subcategory of  $K(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{I}'$  is an  $F'$ -injective subcategory of  $K(\mathcal{A}')$  such that  $F(\text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})) \subseteq \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I}')$ , then  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $F' \circ F$ -injective. And the natural transformation above is an isomorphism:

$$R(F' \circ F) \cong (RF') \circ (RF).$$

*Proof.* For the first part, the natural transformation  $R(F' \circ F) \Rightarrow (RF') \circ (RF)$  is induced by the universal property of left Kan extensions (*check it!*) For the second part, take  $I \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{I})$ . Using the construction in Proposition 0.4 we obtain

$$(RF') \circ (RF)(QI) = Q'' \circ F' \circ F(I) = R(F' \circ F)(QI)$$

For  $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}))$ , by choosing quasi-isomorphism  $X \rightarrow I$  we obtain the isomorphism  $(RF') \circ (RF)(QX) \cong R(F' \circ F)(QX)$ . Finally check that this is compatible with the natural transformation given above.  $\square$

## Derived Bi-Functors

The tensor functor  $- \otimes -$  and the Hom functor  $\text{Hom}(-, -)$  are two typical examples of bi-functors of Abelian categories. Since we are interested in these functors, it is useful to treat the derived bi-functors separately.

**Definition 0.9.** Let  $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  be triangulated categories. A bi-functor  $F: \mathcal{K}_1 \times \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is triangulated, if

- $F$  is triangulated in both slots;
- For any  $A \in \mathcal{K}_1$  and  $B \in \mathcal{K}_2$ , the following diagram anti-commutes<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathbb{T}_1 A, \mathbb{T}_2 B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}F(A, \mathbb{T}_2 B) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{T}F(\mathbb{T}_1 A, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}^2 F(A, B) \end{array}$$

The definition of the left/right derived functor of a triangulated bi-functor is essentially identical. We are interested in the cases where the triangulated categories are homotopy categories of Abelian categories.

Now we consider Abelian categories  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  admits countable products and coproducts. Let  $F: \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be an additive bi-functor. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ch}_{\oplus} F &:= \text{Tot}_{\oplus} \circ \text{Ch}^2(F): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}); \\ \text{Ch}_{\Pi} F &:= \text{Tot}_{\Pi} \circ \text{Ch}^2(F): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Then induce the triangulated bi-functors  $\mathbb{K}_{\oplus} F, \mathbb{K}_{\Pi} F: \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_1) \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$  be triangulated subcategories of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_1), \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_2)$  respectively. We say that  $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$  is  $F$ -injective (*resp.*  $F$ -projective), if  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is  $F(A_1, -)$ -injective for any  $A_1 \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_1))$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_1$  is  $F(-, A_2)$ -injective for any  $A_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A}_2))$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>The term is used in [李文威]. It means that the two composite morphisms in the square differ by a sign.

**Proposition 0.10**

Let  $F: \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be as above.

1. If  $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$  is  $F$ -injective, then  $\mathbf{R}F := \mathbf{R}K_{\Pi}F$  exists. We call it the right derived functor of  $F$ ;
2. If  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2)$  is  $F$ -projective, then  $\mathbf{L}F := \mathbf{L}K_{\oplus}F$  exists. We call it the left derived functor of  $F$ .

**Ext and RHom**

Recall that in *C2.2 Homological Algebra*. we define the  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$  to be the  $n$ -th right derived functor of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, -)$  acting on  $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives or projectives, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$  is computed by an injective resolution  $B \rightarrow I^{\bullet}$  of  $B$  or a projective resolution  $P^{\bullet} \rightarrow A$  of  $A$ . By acyclic assembly lemma,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B)$  can also be computed as the  $n$ -th cohomology of the total complex  $\text{Tot}^{\Pi}(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_{\bullet}, Q_{\bullet}))$  using projective resolutions  $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow A$  and  $Q_{\bullet} \rightarrow B$ .

Using the derived category, the Ext group can be defined without using injective or projective resolutions:

**Definition 0.11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an Abelian category. For chain complexes  $A, B$  in  $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ , we define the **(hyper-)Ext** group as

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]).$$

This definition gives an obvious multiplication structure on Ext:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(B, C) \times \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^m(A, B) &\longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+m}(A, C) \\ (f, g) &\longmapsto f[m] \circ g \end{aligned}$$

In particular it makes  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}(A, A)$  a graded ring for any  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ .

Next we will consider Ext as the right derived functor of Hom bi-functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ . It induces the functor on the double complexes:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(-, -): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Ab}) \times \text{Ch}(\text{Ab}).$$

Define  $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, -) := \text{Tot}_{\Pi} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(-, -): \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\text{Ab})$ . It is not hard to verify that  $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is naturally isomorphic to the **Hom complex**  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}$ :

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(A, B) := \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A^k, B^{k+n}), \quad d_{\text{Hom}}^n(f) := d_B \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d_A.$$

**Lemma 0.12**

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]) \cong \mathbf{H}^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}(A, B), d_{\text{Hom}}^{\bullet}).$$

*Proof.* Trivial by definition. □

The bi-functor  $\text{Ch Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}$  or  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}$  induces the triangulated bi-functor

$$\text{K Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \text{K}^{-}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{K}^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{K}^{+}(\text{Ab}).$$

If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives or projectives, then the right derived functor

$$\text{R Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}: \text{D}^{-}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{op}} \times \text{D}^{+}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{D}^{+}(\text{Ab})$$

exists.

**Proposition 0.13**

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives or projectives. For  $A \in \text{Obj}(\text{D}^{-}(\mathcal{A}))$  and  $B \in \text{Obj}(\text{D}^{+}(\mathcal{A}))$ , there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{H}^n \text{R Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]).$$

*Proof.* Taking the right derived functor in the previous lemma and note that the cohomology functor  $\text{H}^n$  factors through the derived functor.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.14**

Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives. Let  $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$  (viewed as complexes concentrated at degree 0). Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\mathcal{A})}(A, B[n]) \cong \text{R}^n \text{Hom}(A, -)(B)$$

Therefore the hyper-Ext is a generalisation of the usual Ext.

**Tor and  $\otimes^{\text{L}}$**

In this part we only consider  $R$ -modules. For  $A, B \in \text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})$ , from *C3.1 Algebraic Topology* we recall the tensor product of complexes is given by the total complex  $A \otimes_R B := \text{Tot}_{\oplus}(A^{\bullet} \otimes_R B^{\bullet})$ .

**Definition 0.15.** For  $A, B \in \text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})$ , the **total tensor product** of  $A$  and  $B$  is the left derived functor

$$A \otimes_R^{\text{L}} B := \text{L}(- \otimes_R -)(A, B).$$

$\text{L}(- \otimes_R -): \text{D}^{-}(\text{Mod-}R) \times \text{D}^{-}(R\text{-Mod}) \rightarrow \text{D}^{-}(\text{Ab})$  exists because  $R\text{-Mod}$  has enough projectives. By taking cohomology we have the **(hyper-)Tor** groups:

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(A, B) := \text{H}_n(A \otimes_R^{\text{L}} B).^3$$

Similar as hyper-Ext, using the theory of derived functors we can verify that the hyper-Tor reduces to the usual Tor on  $\text{Obj}(R\text{-Mod})$  (defined using projective resolutions).

**Remark.** In general  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  does not have enough projectives. We will have to instead use flat resolutions to compute the total tensor product. See later.

<sup>3</sup>Cohomology and homology make no difference in algebra. By convention,  $\text{H}_n := \text{H}^{-n}$ .



**Proposition 0.16. Derived Tensor-Hom Adjunction**

Let  $A \in D(\text{Mod-}R)$ ,  $B \in D(R\text{-Mod})$ , and  $C \in D(\text{Ab})$ . There are canonical isomorphisms in  $D(\text{Ab})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}_{\text{Ab}}(X \otimes_R^L Y, Z) &\cong \text{RHom}_{\text{Mod-}R}(X, \text{RHom}_{\text{Ab}}(Y, Z)) \\ &\cong \text{RHom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(Y, \text{RHom}_{\text{Ab}}(X, Z)). \end{aligned}$$

## 1 Sheaves of Modules

Let us recall some basic algebraic geometry from *C2.6 Introduction to Schemes*. All rings are commutative with multiplicative identity 1.

**Definition 1.1.** A **scheme**  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a locally ringed space such that for any  $x \in X$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \in \text{Top}(X)$  of  $x$  such that  $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) \cong (\text{Spec } R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R})$  for some ring  $R$ .

**Example 1.2.** A **variety** over a field  $k$  is a reduced<sup>4</sup>, separated<sup>5</sup>, finite type<sup>6</sup> scheme over  $k$ . An **affine variety** is a closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^n := \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . A **projective variety** is a reduced closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n := \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . A **quasi-projective variety** is an open subscheme of a projective variety.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a scheme. A **sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules**  $F$  on  $X$  is a sheaf  $F: \text{Top}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$  such that:

- For any  $U \in \text{Top}(X)$ ,  $F(U)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module;
- The module structure is compatible with restrictions on  $X$ .

The category of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ . It is an Abelian category with enough injectives.

Recall the way we construct the affine scheme  $(\text{Spec } R, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R})$  from any ring  $R$ . For any  $R$ -module  $M$ , we can construct the sheaf  $\widetilde{M} \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } R}\text{-Mod})$  in a similar way (see the course notes for details). In particular we have the stalks  $\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} = M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  and the global sections  $\widetilde{M}(\text{Spec } R) = M$ . For a general scheme  $X$ ,  $\widetilde{M}$  can be constructed from an  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -module  $M$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ . We say that  $F$  is **quasi-coherent**, if it satisfies any of the following equivalent conditions:

1.  $F$  is **locally presented**. That is, for any  $x \in X$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U \in \text{Top}(X)$  of  $x$  such that there exists an exact sequence of the following form:

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow F|_U \longrightarrow 0$$

2. For any  $x \in X$  there exists an affine neighbourhood  $U \cong \text{Spec } R \ni x$  such that  $F|_U \cong \widetilde{M}$  for some  $R$ -module  $M$ .
3. There exists an affine open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that  $F|_{U_i} \cong \widetilde{M}_i$  for  $R_i$ -modules  $M_i$ , where  $\text{Spec } R_i \cong U_i$ .

<sup>4</sup>i.e. all rings  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  are reduced rings.

<sup>5</sup>i.e. the diagonal morphism  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{\text{Spec } k} X$  is a closed immersion.

<sup>6</sup>i.e. quasi-compact and all open affine rings are finite type over  $k$ .

If additionally for each  $U_i$  in (3),  $F(U_i)$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ -module, then we say that  $F$  is **coherent**. The category of quasi-coherent (*resp.* coherent) sheaves is denoted by  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  (*resp.*  $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$ ).

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ . We say that  $F$  is a **vector bundle** (i.e. locally free of finite rank) if for  $x \in X$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \in \mathbf{Top}(X)$  of  $x$  such that  $F|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U^{\oplus n}$ . The category of vector bundles is denoted by  $\mathbf{Vect}(X)$ .  $F$  is called an **invertible sheaf** (or line bundle) if additionally  $n = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Remark.** For a coherent sheaf  $F$  on  $X$ , if the stalk takes the form  $F_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\oplus n(x)}$  for any  $x \in X$ , then  $F$  is a vector bundle. In particular,  $\mathbf{Vect}(X)$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$  if  $X$  is locally Noetherian (i.e. every open affine ring is Noetherian).

*Why do we want quasi-coherence?*

- $\mathbf{Coh}(X)$  and  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  are Abelian categories, but  $\mathbf{Vect}(X)$  is not Abelian in general.
- When  $X = \text{Spec } R$ ,  $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$  gives an equivalence of categories  $R\text{-Mod} \simeq \mathbf{QCoh}(X)$ .
- Pull-backs preserve quasi-coherence. If  $X$  is Noetherian, then push-forwards also preserve quasi-coherence.
- If  $X$  is Noetherian, then  $\mathbf{QCoh}(X)$  has enough injectives. (*Let's prove it below!*)
- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth projective varieties, then  $\mathbf{Coh}(X) \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(Y)$  implies  $X \cong Y$  (*Gabriel–Rosenberg*).

**Slogan.** Quasi-coherent (*resp.* coherent) sheaves are the analogue of modules (*resp.* finitely generated modules) over a ring.

## Functors of Sheaves of Modules

There are some constructions in  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$ .

- **Coproduct:**  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} F_i$  is the sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in J} F_i(U)$ ;
- **Tensor product:**  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} G$  is the sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto F(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} G(U)$ .
- **Hom sheaf:**  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, G)$  is the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_U}(F|_U, G|_U)$ , which is already a sheaf.
- **Dual sheaf:**  $F^\vee := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes. Let  $F \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod})$  and  $G \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod})$ .

1. The **direct image** (or push-forward)  $f_*F$  of  $F$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module given by  $U \mapsto F(f^{-1}(U))$ ;
2. The **pull-back**  $f^*G$  of  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module given by  $f^*G = f^{-1}(G) \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$ .

The key observation is the adjunction  $f^* \dashv f_*$ : there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^*G, F) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(G, f_*F).$$

So it is natural to talk about the derived functors of  $f_*$  and  $f^*$ .

Now let us derive some functors!

Functors	Derived functors	$n$ -th derived functors
Global sections $\Gamma(X, -): \text{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$	$\text{R}\Gamma(X, -)$	Sheaf cohomology $\text{H}^n(X, -)$
$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, -): (\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$	$\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, -)$	Ext group $\text{Ext}_X^n(-, -)$
$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, -): (\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod})^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$	$\text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(-, -)$	Ext sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_X^n(-, -)$
$- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} -: \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \times \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$	$- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathbb{L}} -$	Tor group $\text{Tor}_n^X(-, -)$
$f_*: \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod}$	$\text{R}f_*$	Higher direct image $\text{R}^n f_*$
$f^*: \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$	$\text{L}f^*$	$\text{L}_n f^*$

## Derived Categories of Coherent Sheaves

We will always assume that  $X$  is Noetherian<sup>7</sup>. A good new and a bad news.

### Proposition 1.7

Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. Then  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  has enough injectives.

*Proof.* [HartsAG, Cor III.3.6] Cover  $X$  with a finite number of affine opens  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ , and let  $F|_{U_i} = \widetilde{M}_i$  for each  $i$ . Embed  $M_i$  in an injective  $A_i$ -module  $I_i$ . For each  $i$ , let  $f: U_i \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion, and let  $G = \bigoplus_i f_*(\widetilde{I}_i)$ . For each  $i$  we have an injective map of sheaves  $F|_{U_i} \rightarrow \widetilde{I}_i$ . Hence we obtain a map  $F \rightarrow f_*(\widetilde{I}_i)$ . Taking the direct sum over  $i$  gives a map  $F \rightarrow G$  which is clearly injective. Check that  $G$  is flasque<sup>8</sup> and quasi-coherent.  $G$  is an injective object in  $\text{QCoh}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Alternatively it can also be shown that  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  is a **Grothendieck category** (see [李文威, §2.10]), thus having enough injectives.

In general  $\text{Coh}(X)$  does not have enough injectives. Think of  $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\text{Coh}(X)$  is the category of finitely generated Abelian groups. Instead of  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X)$ , we instead work with the full subcategory  $\text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  of  $\text{D}^b\text{QCoh}(X)$ :

$$\text{Obj}(\text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)) := \left\{ F \in \text{D}^b\text{QCoh}(X) : \text{H}^n(F) \in \text{Obj}(\text{Coh}(X)); \text{H}^i(F) = 0 \text{ for } |i| \gg 0 \right\}.$$

In general for a full Abelian subcategory  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , the derived categories  $\text{D}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B})$  could be quite different. However we have the following

### Proposition 1.8

Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme. The natural functor  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{D}^b\text{QCoh}(X)$  defines a triangulated equivalence of categories

$$\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \simeq \text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X).$$

*Proof.* [Huyb, Prop 3.5] It is clear that  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{D}^b\text{QCoh}(X)$  is fully faithful. It suffices to show essential surjectivity. Consider a bounded complex of quasi-coherent sheaves with coherent cohomology:

<sup>7</sup>i.e. quasi-compact and every open affine ring is Noetherian.

<sup>8</sup>i.e. restriction maps of  $F$  are surjective.

$$0 \longrightarrow F^n \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow F^m \longrightarrow 0$$

By induction suppose  $F^j$  is coherent for  $j > i$ . Consider the surjections  $d^i: F^i \rightarrow \text{im } d^i \subseteq F^{i+1}$  and  $\ker d^i \rightarrow H^i(F^\bullet)$ . We can find coherent subsheaves of  $F_1^i \subseteq F^i$  and  $F_2^i \subseteq \ker d^i \subseteq F^i$  such that the restrictions of the above morphisms are still surjective ([HartsAG, Ex II.5.15]). Now replace  $F^i$  by its subsheaf generated by  $F_1^i$  and  $F_2^i$ , and let  $F^{i-1}$  be the preimage under  $d^{i-1}$  of the new  $F^i$ . Clearly the inclusions induce a quasi-isomorphism of the new complex with the old one and now  $F^i$  is also coherent.  $\square$

So we can resolve a coherent sheaf by quasi-coherent sheaves injective in  $\text{QCoh}(X)$  in order to compute  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X)$ .

## Derived Functors of Coherent Sheaves

In this part we address some technical issues in passing the functors from  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$  to  $\text{Coh}(X)$ . We follow [Huyb §3.3]. A lot of relevant results are scattered in Chapter III of [HartsAG]...

### Theorem 1.9. Grothendieck Vanishing Theorem

Let  $X$  be a Noetherian topological space of dimension  $n$ . Then  $H^i(X, F) = 0$  for all  $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{Ab}(X))$  and  $i > n$ .

*Proof.* See [HartsAG Thm III.2.7].  $\square$

### Theorem 1.10

Let  $F$  be a coherent sheaf on a scheme  $X$  which is proper (e.g. projective) over a field  $k$ . Then  $H^i(X, F)$  is finite dimensional over  $k$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* See [HartsAG Thm III.5.2].  $\square$

### Corollary 1.11

Let  $X$  be a projective variety over a field  $k$ . The global section functor  $\Gamma(X, -)$  is a left exact functor  $\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow k\text{-Mod}^{\text{fd}}$ . The right derived functor  $R\Gamma$  can be computed via the composition  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \simeq \text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \hookrightarrow \text{D}^b\text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{D}^b(k\text{-Mod})$ .

### Theorem 1.12

1. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of Noetherian schemes. Let  $F$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf over  $X$ . The higher direct images  $R^i f_*(F) = 0$  for  $i > \dim X$ .
2. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes. Let  $F$  be a coherent sheaf over  $X$ . The higher direct images  $R^i f_*(F)$  are also coherent for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* See [HartsAG Thm III.8.1 III.8.8].  $\square$

### Corollary 1.13

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes. The direct image  $f_*: \text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(Y)$  induces the right derived functor  $Rf_*: D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)$ .

## 2 Coherent Sheaves on a Smooth Projective Variety

### Smoothness and Serre Duality

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Recall that in *C3.4 Algebraic Geometry* we define the non-singular points of a quasi-projective variety by counting the dimension of (co)tangent space at that point:

**Definition 2.1.** A scheme  $X$  is **non-singular** (or regular)<sup>9</sup> at  $x \in X$  if  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a regular local ring. That is,  $\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x} \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ .  $X$  is non-singular if it is non-singular at all points<sup>10</sup>.

The non-singularity can be characterised by Kähler differentials, which is the algebraic analogue of the cotangent bundle.

### Proposition 2.2

Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety over  $k$ . Then  $X$  is regular if and only if the sheaf of Kähler differentials  $\Omega_{X/k}$  is a vector bundle over  $X$  of dimension  $n = \dim X$ .

*Proof.* See [HartsAG Thm II.8.15]. □

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a non-singular irreducible variety over  $k$ . Let  $n = \dim X$ . We define the

- **tangent sheaf/bundle**  $\mathcal{T}_X := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , which is a vector bundle of rank  $n$ ;
- **canonical sheaf/bundle**  $\omega_X := \bigwedge^n \Omega_{X/k}$ , which is a line bundle.

### Perfect Complexes

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $F \in \text{Obj}(D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X))$ . We say that  $F$  is a **strictly perfect complex**, if  $F$  is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of vector bundles on  $X$ . We say that  $F$  is a **perfect complex** if there exists an affine cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$  such that each  $F|_{U_i}$  is quasi-isomorphic to some strictly perfect complex  $F_i$  on  $U_i$ .

The perfect complexes form a full subcategory  $\text{Perf}(X)$  of  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ .

### Proposition 2.5. Smoothness via Perfect Complexes

Suppose that  $X$  is a Noetherian scheme. Then  $X$  is regular if and only if the inclusion  $\text{Perf}(X) \rightarrow D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  is an equivalence of categories.

<sup>9</sup>It is bad to use the term *smooth* here, as it is reserved for a property of morphisms.

<sup>10</sup>Equivalently at all closed points, because the stalk at any non-closed point is a localisation of the stalk at a closed point, and localisation preserves regular local rings.

*Proof.* Idea: On a regular scheme  $X$ , any coherent sheaf  $F$  admits a locally free resolution of length  $\dim X$ . This is the generalisation of the affine result:  $\text{Spec } R$  is an  $n$ -dimensional regular affine variety if and only if every (finitely generated)  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a (finitely generated) projective resolution of length  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** For a general variety  $X$ , we may introduce the quotient category (*localisation?*)

$$\text{Sing}(X) := \text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^{\text{b}}(X) / \text{Perf}(X)$$

which measures how singular  $X$  is. Of course  $\text{Sing}(X)$  is trivial if  $X$  is regular.

By passing to  $\text{Perf}(X)$  we will be able to define the bounded version of  $\text{RHom}$  and  $\otimes^{\text{L}}$  for coherent sheaves when  $X$  is a smooth projective variety. In particular, for  $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^{\text{b}}(X))$ , the **derived dual**

$$F^{\vee} := \text{RHom}(F, \mathcal{O}_X) \in \text{D}^+ \text{QCoh}(X)$$

is in  $\text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^{\text{b}}(X)$  when  $X$  is regular.

## Serre Duality

### Theorem 2.6. Serre Duality

Let  $X$  be a  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety over  $k$  with canonical sheaf  $\omega_X$ . For  $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{Vect}(X))$ , there are functorial isomorphisms of vector spaces

$$\text{H}^i(X, F)^{\vee} \cong \text{Ext}_X^{n-i}(F, \omega_X) \cong \text{H}^{n-i}(X, F^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X).$$

*Proof.* See [HartsAG §III.7]. The second isomorphism follows from the general facts  $\text{Ext}_X^n(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} F, G) \cong \text{Ext}_X^n(E, F^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} G)$  (here  $F$  needs to be a vector bundle) and  $\text{Ext}_X^n(\mathcal{O}_X, F) \cong \text{H}^n(X, F)$  for  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $E, F, G$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If we take  $F = \Omega^p := \bigwedge^p \Omega_{X/k}$  and note that  $\Omega^{n-p} \cong (\Omega^p)^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X$  ([HartsAG Ex II.5.16.(b)]), then Serre duality takes the form

$$\text{H}^q(X, \Omega^p)^{\vee} \cong \text{H}^{n-q}(X, \Omega^{n-p}),$$

which is known in complex geometry.

### Corollary 2.7

Let  $X$  be a  $n$ -dimensional smooth projective variety over  $k$ . Then  $\text{Coh}(X)$  has **global homological dimension**  $n$ . That is,  $\text{Ext}_X^i(F, G) = 0$  for  $i > n$  and any coherent sheaves  $F, G$ .

**Remark.** In particular, for a smooth projective curve  $C$ ,  $\text{Coh}(C)$  has global homological dimension 1. It can be proven that every  $F \in \text{D}^{\text{b}}\text{Coh}(C)$  is quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology:

$$F \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}^i(F)[-i].$$

## Serre Functor

Let us rephrase Serre duality using some category theory.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -linear category. A **Serre functor**  $S: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $k$ -linear equivalence such that for  $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists a functorial isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, S(A)).$$

### Lemma 2.9

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $k$ -linear categories with finite-dimensional Hom spaces. Suppose that they admit Serre functors  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{B}}$  respectively. Then any  $k$ -linear equivalence  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  commutes with the Serre functors:  $F \circ S_{\mathcal{A}} \cong S_{\mathcal{B}} \circ F$ .

*Proof.* This is an application of the Yoneda lemma: since  $F$  is fully faithful, one has for any two objects  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\text{Hom}(A, S_{\mathcal{A}}B) \cong \text{Hom}(FA, FS_{\mathcal{A}}B), \quad \text{Hom}(B, A) \cong \text{Hom}(FB, FA).$$

Together with the two isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}(A, S_{\mathcal{A}}B) \cong \text{Hom}(B, A)^{\vee}, \quad \text{Hom}(FB, FA) \cong \text{Hom}(FA, S_{\mathcal{B}}FB)^{\vee},$$

this yields a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(FA, FS_{\mathcal{A}}B) \cong \text{Hom}(FA, S_{\mathcal{B}}FB).$$

Using the hypothesis that  $F$  is an equivalence and, in particular, that any object in  $\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to some  $F(A)$ , one concludes that there exists a functor isomorphism  $F \circ S_{\mathcal{A}} \cong S_{\mathcal{B}} \circ F$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are triangulated categories, then the Serre functors are exact and triangulated.

In particular, Serre functors are useful in inverting adjunction pairs:

### Corollary 2.10

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be as above. Let  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $k$ -linear functor. Then

$$G \dashv F \implies F \dashv S_{\mathcal{A}} \circ G \circ S_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* For  $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{B})$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, S_{\mathcal{A}}GS_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(GS_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}B, A)^{\vee} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(S_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}B, FA)^{\vee} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(FA, B) \quad \square$$

Serre functors gain their name from Serre duality. Indeed, let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. We define the the functor

$$S_X: \text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^{\text{b}}(X) \rightarrow \text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^{\text{b}}(X), \quad F \mapsto F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X[\dim X].$$

### Proposition 2.11

The functor  $S_X$  defined above is a Serre functor.

*Proof.* Let  $n = \dim X$ . Let  $E, F$  be vector bundles over  $X$ . By Serre duality we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^i(E, F) \cong \mathrm{H}^i(X, E^\vee \otimes F) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n-i}(X, E \otimes F^\vee \otimes \omega_X)^\vee \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^{n-i}(F, E \otimes \omega_X)^\vee.$$

Using Corollary 0.14 we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)}(E, F[i]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)}(F[i], E \otimes \omega_X[n])^\vee \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)}(F[i], S_X(E))^\vee.$$

Therefore for any  $E, F \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X))$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)}(E, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)}(F, S_X(E))^\vee. \quad \square$$

## Grothendieck–Verdier Duality

The target is to generalise Serre duality to a relative version. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of smooth projective varieties. We define the **relative dimension**  $\dim f := \dim X - \dim Y$  and the **relative dualising bundle**  $\omega_f := \omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \omega_Y^{-1}$ .

It is impossible to find a right adjoint to the direct image functor  $f_*: \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(Y)$ , because we have the adjunction  $f^* \dashv f_*$  on the Abelian categories  $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$  and  $\mathrm{Coh}(Y)$ . However it is possible after passing to the derived categories. We can construct  $\mathrm{L}f^* \dashv \mathrm{R}f_* \dashv f^!$  by Serre functors.

### Theorem 2.12. Grothendieck–Verdier Duality

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then the right adjoint of  $\mathrm{R}f_*: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(Y)$  exists and is given by

$$f^!(F) := \mathrm{L}f^*(F) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_f[\dim f].$$

*Proof.* By the previous part it suffices to take  $f^! := S_X \circ \mathrm{L}f^* \circ S_Y^{-1}$ . □

Grothendieck–Verdier duality has a more general form, which is a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}f_* \circ \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, \mathrm{L}f^*(E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_f[\dim f]) \cong \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathrm{R}f_*(F), E)$$

for  $F \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(X)$  and  $E \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^b(Y)$ .

## 3 Reconstruction from Derived Categories

### Ampleness

Let us first recall the structure of invertible sheaves on the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $L$  be an invertible sheaf on a scheme  $X$ . It is called invertible because the tensor operation with the dual sheaf gives

$$L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^\vee = L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L, L) \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$



Therefore the set of invertible sheaves forms a group  $\text{Pic } X$  under the tensor operation, called the **Picard group** of  $X$ . For  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n = \text{Proj } S$ , where  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ , we have the **twisting sheaf** on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ :

$$\mathcal{O}(1) := \widetilde{S[1]}, \quad S[1] \text{ is a graded } S\text{-module with } S[1]_d = S_{d+1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}(0) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(n) := \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes n}$  for  $n > 0$  and  $\mathcal{O}(n) := \mathcal{O}(-n)^\vee$  for  $n < 0$ . It can be proven that  $\mathcal{O}(n) = \widetilde{S[n]}$ . Then we have a subgroup of  $\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}_k^n$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact it can be proven (e.g. using divisors) that all invertible sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  are in this form. So  $\text{Pic } \mathbb{P}_k^n \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

By definition, the global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  are generated by the homogeneous elements in  $S$  of degree  $n$ . In particular, the twisting sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  has global sections generated by  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ , and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  has no global sections for  $n < 0$ .

**Remark.** For general  $X$ , using Čech cohomology it can be proven that  $\text{Pic } X \cong \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_X^\times$  is the **sheaf of invertible functions**, that is,  $\mathcal{O}_X^\times(U)$  is the multiplicative group of  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  for each  $U \in \text{Top}(X)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme over the field  $k$ , and  $L$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . We say that  $L$  is **very ample** (relative to  $\text{Spec } k$ ), if there exists a (locally closed) immersion  $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  such that  $\iota^*(\mathcal{O}(1)) \cong L$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $L$  is generated by the global sections  $s_0, \dots, s_n$ , where  $s_i := \iota^*(x_i)$ .

### Lemma 3.2

Let  $X$  be a projective scheme over  $k$  and let  $L$  be a very ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Let  $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{Coh}(X))$ . Then for  $n \gg 0$ ,  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes n}$  is generated by finitely many global sections.

*Proof.* See [HartsAG Thm II.5.17]. □

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a Noetherian scheme, and  $L$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . We say that  $L$  is **ample** if for any  $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{Coh}(X))$ , there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^{\otimes n}$  is generated by global sections.

### Theorem 3.4

Let  $X$  be a projective variety over  $k$ , and  $L$  be an invertible sheaf on  $X$ . The following are equivalent:

- $L$  is ample;
- $L^{\otimes m}$  is ample for some  $m > 0$ ;
- $L^{\otimes m}$  is very ample (relative to  $\text{Spec } k$ ) for some  $m > 0$ .

*Proof.* See [HartsAG II.7.5, II.7.6]. □

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $X$  be a non-singular variety with canonical bundle  $\omega_X$  and anti-canonical bundle  $\omega_X^\vee$ .  $X$  is called a

- **Fano variety**, if  $\omega_X^\vee$  is ample;
- **Calabi–Yau variety**, if  $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ ;

- **anti-Fano variety**<sup>11</sup>, if  $\omega_X$  is ample.

**Remark.** Consider compact Kähler manifolds which admit projective embeddings. By the celebrated Calabi–Yau theorem, the three cases above correspond to Kähler metrics with positive, flat, and negative Ricci curvature respectively.

**Remark.** The projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is Fano because  $\omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} = \mathcal{O}(-n-1)$  ([HartsAG II.8.13, II.8.20.1]), and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  is ample if and only if  $n > 0$ .

**Remark.** For a smooth projective curve  $C$  with genus  $g$ ,  $C$  is Fano if  $g = 0$ , Calabi–Yau if  $g = 1$  (i.e. elliptic curve), and anti-Fano if  $g > 1$ .

### Lemma 3.6

Let  $X$  be a projective variety over  $k$ , and  $L$  be an ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Then  $X \cong \text{Proj} \Gamma_*(X, L^{\otimes m})$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , where  $\Gamma_*(X, L)$  is the graded ring  $\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, L^{\otimes d})$ .

*Proof.* See [math.stackexchange.com/questions/57775](https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/57775) or (Stacks Project Lemma 28.26.9).  $\square$

## Bondal–Orlov Reconstruction Theorem

The target is to explain the idea of the following result. We follow [Huyb §4.1].

### Theorem 3.7. Bondal–Orlov Reconstruction Theorem

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . If  $X$  is Fano or anti-Fano, and  $\text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \simeq \text{D}^b\text{Coh}(Y)$ , then  $X \cong Y$ .

The proof can be divided into the following steps:

1. Identify point-like and invertible objects in the derived categories which generalise the invertible sheaves and skyscraper sheaves on the variety.
2. Since point-like objects and invertible objects are preserved under the equivalence  $F: \text{D}^b\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \text{D}^b\text{Coh}(Y)$ , prove that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ , and that  $Y$  is also Fano or anti-Fano.
3. Prove the graded ring isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \omega_X^{\otimes d}) \cong \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y^{\otimes d})$ .
4. By ampleness of  $\omega_X$  (or  $\omega_X^{\vee}$ ),  $X$  can be reconstructed as  $\text{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \omega_X^{\otimes d}) \right)$ . Thus conclude that  $X \cong Y$ .

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -linear triangulated category with a Serre functor  $S$ . An object  $P \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{K})$  is called **point-like** of codimension  $d$  if

1.  $S(P) \cong P[d]$ ;
2.  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, P[i]) = 0$  for  $i < 0$ ;
3.  $\kappa(P) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, P)$  is a field.

**Remark.** Consider  $\text{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  for smooth projective variety  $k$  with the Serre functor  $S_X$ . For  $x \in X$ , the skyscraper sheaf  $\underline{\kappa}(x)$  of the residue field  $\kappa(x) := \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$  supported at  $x$  is a point-like object of

<sup>11</sup>This non-standard terminology is used in [Bock].

codimension  $\dim X$  in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ . This explains the name. Moreover, we shall show that every point-like object in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  arises from them for  $X$  Fano or anti-Fano.

**Lemma 3.9**

Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . If  $X$  is Fano or anti-Fano, then every point-like object in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\underline{\kappa(x)}[m]$ , where  $x \in X$  is a closed point and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* See [Huyb 4.5, 4.6]. □

**Remark.** This is certain not true when  $X$  is not Fano or anti-Fano. For example, if  $X$  is Calabi–Yau, then  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a point-like object in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ .

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $k$ -linear triangulated category with a Serre functor  $S$ . An object  $L \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{K})$  is called **invertible** if for any point-like object  $P \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{K})$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(L, P[i]) = \begin{cases} \kappa(P), & i = n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.11**

Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . Every invertible object in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  is of the form  $L[m]$  where  $L$  is an invertible sheaf on  $X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Conversely, if  $X$  is Fano or anti-Fano, then  $L[m]$  is an invertible object in  $D_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  for  $L$  invertible sheaf on  $X$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* See [Huyb Prop 4.9]. □

**Lemma 3.12**

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . If  $D^b\text{Coh}(X) \simeq D^b\text{Coh}(Y)$ , then  $\dim X = \dim Y$ .

*Proof.* For a closed point  $x \in X$ , the skyscraper sheaf

$$\underline{\kappa(x)} \cong \underline{\kappa(x)} \otimes \omega_X = S_X(\underline{\kappa(x)})[-\dim X].$$

Under the equivalence  $F: D^b\text{Coh}(X) \rightarrow D^b\text{Coh}(Y)$ ,

$$F(\underline{\kappa(x)}) \cong F(S_X(\underline{\kappa(x)})[-\dim X]) \cong S_Y(F(\underline{\kappa(x)}))[-\dim X] \cong F(\underline{\kappa(x)}) \otimes \omega_Y[\dim Y - \dim X].$$

Taking the cohomology sheaf of the bounded complex  $F(\underline{\kappa(x)})$  and using that  $\omega_Y$  commutes with cohomology, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^i(F(\underline{\kappa(x)})) \cong \mathcal{H}^{i+\dim Y - \dim X}(F(\underline{\kappa(x)})) \otimes \omega_Y.$$

By looking at the maximal and minimal  $i$  such that  $\mathcal{H}^i(F(\underline{\kappa(x)})) \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $\dim X = \dim Y$ . □

*Proof of Bondal–Orlov theorem assuming above lemmata.*

Let  $F: \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(Y)$  be an exact equivalence. It is clear that  $F$  preserves invertible objects. Then  $F(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is invertible and is of the form  $L[m]$  for some invertible sheaf  $L$  on  $Y$ . Then  $F' := T^{-m} \circ (L^\vee \otimes -) \circ F$  is another exact equivalence  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(Y)$  such that  $F'(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ . We simply replace  $F$  by  $F'$ .

Assume that  $\omega_X$  is ample (the other case is similar). Let  $n = \dim X = \dim Y$ . We have for  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$F(\omega_X^{\otimes d}) = F(S_X^d(\mathcal{O}_X))[-dn] \cong S_Y^k(F(\mathcal{O}_X))[-dn] \cong S_Y^d(\mathcal{O}_Y)[-dn] = \omega_Y^d$$

and hence  $\Gamma(X, \omega_X^d) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^d) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y^d) = \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y^d)$ . This induces an graded ring isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \omega_X^d) \cong \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y^d)$ , where the multiplication is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^{d_1}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^{d_2}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^{d_1+d_2}) \\ (s_1, s_2) &\longmapsto S_X^{d_1}(s_2)[-d_1n] \circ s_1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\omega_X$  is ample implies that  $\omega_X^{\otimes m}$  is very ample for some  $m > 0$ , which implies that  $X \cong \mathrm{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \omega_X^{\otimes md}) \right)$ . If  $\omega_Y^{\otimes m}$  is also very ample, then we may conclude that

$$X \cong \mathrm{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(X, \omega_X^{\otimes md}) \right) \cong \mathrm{Proj} \left( \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} \Gamma(Y, \omega_Y^{\otimes md}) \right) \cong Y.$$

Finally we prove that  $\omega_Y^{\otimes m}$  is very ample. The idea is that this is equivalent to that the Zariski topology on  $Y$  has a basis of the form  $\{V_\beta: \beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y^{\otimes md}), d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $V_\beta := \{y \in Y: \alpha_y^* \neq 0\}$ , and  $\alpha_y^*: \mathrm{Hom}(\omega_Y^{\otimes md}, \kappa(y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \kappa(y))$  is the induced map  $f \mapsto f \circ \alpha$ . But the equivalence  $F$  induces a homeomorphism  $X \rightarrow Y$ , which maps  $U_\alpha$  in  $X$  to  $V_{F(\alpha)}$  in  $Y$ . This implies that  $\omega_Y^{\otimes m}$  is very ample.  $\square$

**Remark.** By Bondal–Orlov theorem, a smooth projective curve with genus  $g \neq 1$  is completely determined by its derived category of coherent sheaves. For elliptic curves, this is also true.

### Theorem 3.13

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth projective curves over  $k$ . If  $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}\mathrm{Coh}(X) \simeq \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}\mathrm{Coh}(Y)$ , then  $X \cong Y$ .

*Proof.* See [Huyb Cor 5.46].  $\square$

The theorem tells something more about the autoequivalence group of  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)$ .

### Corollary 3.14

Suppose that  $X$  is a smooth projective variety which is Fano or anti-Fano. Then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Coh}}^{\mathrm{b}}(X)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathrm{Aut} X \times \mathrm{Pic} X).$$

*Proof.* See [Huyb Prop 4.17].  $\square$

## Fourier–Mukai Transforms

In analysis, an integral transform  $\Phi_K$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with kernel  $K: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  takes the form

$$\Phi_K(f)(p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)K(x, p) dx.$$

For example  $\Phi_K$  is the Fourier transform when  $K(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-ix \cdot p}$ . We generalise this idea to algebraic geometry to produce a class of functors between the derived categories.

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . Let  $\pi_X: X \times_k Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y: X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$  be the projection maps. For  $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times_k Y)$ , we define the **integral transform**  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E$  with kernel  $E$  to be the functor

$$\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E: \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y), \quad F \mapsto \mathbf{R}(\pi_Y)_*(\pi_X^*(F) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E).$$

If  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E$  is an exact equivalence of categories, then it is called a **Fourier–Mukai transform**.

A lot of derived functors we have known can be expressed as an integral transform:

- The identity functor  $\text{id}: \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow X}^{\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta} := \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is the push-forward by the diagonal morphism  $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ .
- For  $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$ , the derived tensor product  $- \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} -$  is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow X}^{\Delta_* E}$ .
- Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism.  $\Gamma_f \subseteq X \times Y$  is the graph of  $f$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_f} \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y))$ . The derived direct image  $\mathbf{R}f_*$  is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_f}}$  and the derived pull-back  $\mathbf{L}f^*$  is isomorphic to  $\Phi_{Y \rightarrow X}^{\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_f}}$ .

### Proposition 3.16

Let  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E: \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)$  be an integral transform with kernel  $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)$ . Then it admits left and right adjoints, respectively given by  $\Phi_{Y \rightarrow X}^{E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]}$  and  $\Phi_{Y \rightarrow X}^{E^\vee \otimes \pi_X^* \omega_X[\dim X]}$ , where  $E^\vee := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y})$ .

*Proof.* This is a nice application of the Grothendieck–Verdier duality. For  $G \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)$  and  $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)}(\Phi_{Y \rightarrow X}^{E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]}(F), G) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X)}(\mathbf{R}(\pi_X)_*(\pi_Y^* F \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]), G) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)}(\pi_Y^* F \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y], \pi_X^! G) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)}(\pi_Y^* F \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y], \mathbf{L}\pi_X^* G \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)}(\pi_Y^* F \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} E^\vee, \mathbf{L}\pi_X^* G) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)}(\mathbf{L}\pi_Y^* F, E \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \pi_X^* G) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)}(F, \mathbf{R}(\pi_Y)_*(E \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \pi_X^* G)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)}(F, \Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E(G)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $\Phi_{Y \rightarrow X}^{E^\vee \otimes \pi_Y^* \omega_Y[\dim Y]} \dashv \Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E$ . For the right adjoint of  $\Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E$ , we can use

Corollary 2.10. □

**Proposition 3.17**

For  $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)$  and  $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y \times Z)$ , define

$$F \circ E := \mathbf{R}(\pi_{XZ})_*(\pi_{XY}^* E \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \pi_{YZ}^* F),$$

where  $\pi_{XY}, \pi_{YZ}, \pi_{XZ}$  are projections from  $X \times Y \times Z$  to  $X \times Y$ ,  $Y \times Z$ , and  $X \times Z$  respectively. Then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$\Phi_{X \rightarrow Z}^{F \circ E} \cong \Phi_{Y \rightarrow Z}^F \circ \Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E.$$

*Proof.* The checking is straightforward. See [Huyb Prop 5.10]. □

There is a famous difficult result due to Orlov:

**Theorem 3.18. Orlov's Theorem**

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth projective varieties and let  $F: \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(Y)$  be a fully faithful exact functor. There exists a unique  $E \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{Coh}}^b(X \times Y)$  such that  $F \cong \Phi_{X \rightarrow Y}^E$ .

In particular, if  $F$  is an equivalence, then it is isomorphic to a Fourier–Mukai transform with a unique kernel.

**Corollary 3.19. Gabriel Reconstruction Theorem**

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth projective varieties over  $k$ . If  $\text{Coh}(X) \cong \text{Coh}(Y)$ , then  $X \cong Y$ .

*Proof.* See [Huyb Cor 5.23, 5.24]. □